

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/827,671	04/06/2001	Michael Bruce Christopher Irwin	HW-110-CIP-DIV	7701
7590 09/07/2004		EXAMINER		
Albert Peter D	— —	POPE, DARYL C		
20 Eustis Street Cambridge, M.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Cumorago, ra			2632	
			DATE MAILED: 09/07/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/827,671	IRWIN, MICHAEL BRUCE CHRISTOPHER
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	DARYL C POPE	2632
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of thi d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
	—-· is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal mat	• •
		,
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-5,7 and 8</u> is/are pending in the app		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	·
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7 and 8</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		by the Examiner
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		
	Administ. Note the attached	2 Office Action of Toffit 170-132.
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. {	3 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in A	pplication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been	received in this National Stage
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
		received.
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not	
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not	
	t of the certified copies not	
ttachment(s)	_	Summary (PTO-413)
	4) ☐ Interview S	Summary (PTO-413) S)/Mail Date
attachment(s) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/29/04;8/27/03:	4) Interview S Paper No(s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
ttachment(s) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/29/04;8/27/03;	4)	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/827,671

Art Unit: 2632

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 25 and 26 of U.S. Patent No. 6,046,674. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to alleviate a means for setting a station ID, since the processor controlled transmitter would have a compiled data packet that would have included station ID, and therefore setting of the ID by a means for setting a station ID would not have been necessary.

Furthermore, it would also have been obvious to allow the unique schedule to random, or at any predetermined times as desired, since one of ordinary skill would have recognized the most optimal schedule for the unique schedule that would have provided the best efficiency in the system.

Application/Control Number: 09/827,671

Art Unit: 2632

3. Claims 7-8 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claim 6 of U. S. Patent No. 6,300,871 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: 1) the transmitter station; 2) the receiver station, and 3) first, second, and third information being temperature, relative humidity, and heat index, respectively.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DARYL C POPE whose telephone number is (571) 272-2959. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 8:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, DANIEL WU can be reached on (571) 272-2964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 09/827,671

Art Unit: 2632

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daryl C. Pope

Sept. 6, 2004

DARYL C POPE Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2632